# High-Damping Rubber Bearings for Base Isolation

## Technical Report Product Code: HDR-X0.6R



Bridgestone Corporation Seismic Isolation & Vibration Control Products Development



### Contents

		Page
1.	Construction	1
2.	Horizontal Properties (Numerical Model)	3
3.	Variation of Horizontal Properties	5
4.	Vertical Properties	6
5.	Nominal Long-Term Compressive Stress	7
6.	Ultimate Properties	8
Annex-A	Temperature Dependence on Shear Properties	11
Annex-B	Change of Restoring Force Characteristics by Ageing	12
Annex-C	Creep Properties	14
Annex-D	Frequency Dependency of Restoring Force	
	Characteristics	15
Annex-E	Shear Strain Dependency of Restoring Force	
	Characteristics	16
Annex-F	Tensile Fracture Strain	
Annex-G	Repeated Loading Dependency of Restoring Force	
	Characteristics	18
Annex-H	Ultimate Property Diagram	19
	H.1 HH-Series (Total Rubber Height: 200mm)	19
	H.2 HL-Series (Total Rubber Height: 160mm)	22
	H.3 HT-Series (Total Rubber Height: 250mm)	24
Annex-I	Compressive Stress Dependency of Restoring Force	
	Characteristics	25



#### 1. Construction

#### $\square$ Basic construction

Basic construction of High Damping Rubber Bearings (HDR-X0.6R) is shown in Figure-1. HDR consists of alternate rubber layers and reinforcing steel plates. The inner rubber composed of the high damping materials, to demonstrate spring and damping capabilities itself.

Basic dimensional range of High Damping Rubber Bearings is shown in Table-1. As shown in table, HDR-X0.6R supplied a wide range of sizes according to axial forces and required responsibility.



Figure-1 Basic Structure of High Damping Rubber Bearing

Items		Characteristics
Rubber	Compound name	X0.6R
	Shear modulus N/mm <sup>2</sup>	0.62
	Equivalent damping ratio	0.24
Dimension	Outer diameter, mm	φ500 <b>~</b> 1600
	Inner diameter, mm	φ15 <b>~</b> 80
Shape factor	First shape factor	35~40
	Secondary shape factor	3.0~10.0

Table-1 Dimensional characteristics

#### [Note]

(1)Dimensions and product performance of each Bridgestone standard size is shown in Catalogue.

(2)Dimensional tolerance is shown in Table 2.

Item	Standard		
Isolator height *1	Design height $\pm 1.5\%$ and $\pm 6mm$		
Isolator slope *2	0.5% of Flange diameter and < 3mm		
Horizontal offset	<5mm		
Rubber diameter	Design v. ±0.5% and <±4mm		
Flange diameter	Design v. ±3.0mm		
Flange thickness	Design v.±0.9mm		
Bolt pitch center diameter	Design v. ±1.2mm		

Table-2 Dimensional tolerance

\*1: Average on 4 points, temperature = 20 Degrees Celsius

\*2: Difference of height located in diagonal position



#### □Material properties

Compound configuration of the rubber materials and material characteristics of steel plates (flanges and inner steel plates) are shown in Tables-3 and -4.

fuole 5 Compound comigatution (weight futlo,70)			
	Natural rubber	Filler	Sulfur etc
	Synthetic rubber	(Carbon etc.)	Sultur etc.
Inner rubber (X0.6R)	> 35	> 25	< 40
Cover rubber	>40	>15	>40

#### Table-3 Compound configuration (weight ratio,%)

Properties	Standard	Characteristics
Flange	ЛS G3101, ЛS G3106	SS400, SM490
Inner Steel Plate	ЛS G3101	SS400

\*JIS: Japanese Industrial Standards

Physical properties of inner rubber materials are shown in the Table 5. The rubber material tests were carried out by the method specified in JIS (Japanese Industrial Standards).

Table-5 Physical Prope	erties of Inner Rubber	Materials of HDR-X0.6
------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Properties	Standard	Characteristics
Compound Code	Bridgestone Code	X0.6
Hardness	JIS K 6253	53±5
100% Modulus	JIS K 6251	0.78±0.2 (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
Tensile Strength	JIK K6251	$\geq 8$ (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
Elongation at Break	JIK K 6251	≧720 (%)

#### Note

Standard painting system is shown in Table-6.

Items	Characteristics	
Surface preparation	Sand blasting,: SSPC-SP-10(SIS Sa 2 1/2)	
Primer	Zinc-rich primer 75µm×10at	
Middle coat	Epoxy resin paint 60µm×10at	
Finishing	Epoxy resin paint 35µm×10at	
Total film thickness	> 170µm	



#### 2. Horizontal Properties (Numerical Model)

□Principle

Shear stiffness ' $K_{eq}$ ', equivalent damping ratio ' $H_{eq}$ ' and ratio of characteristic strength to maximum shear force of a loop '*u*' are given by the following equations (1) to (3).

Shear properties are defined at 3<sup>rd</sup> cycle of  $\gamma_0$ =1.0 $\gamma$  cyclic sinusoidal loading with frequency of 0.33 Hz. G<sub>eq</sub>, H<sub>eq</sub>, U are expressed as functions of shear strain  $\gamma$  (= $\delta$ /H) as shown below.

$$K_{eq} = \frac{G_{eq} \cdot A}{n \cdot t_r}$$
(1)  
$$H_{eq} = \frac{\Delta W}{(2 \cdot \pi \cdot K_{eq} \cdot X^2)}$$
(2)

$$u = \frac{Q_d}{\left(K_{eq} \cdot X\right)} \tag{3}$$



Figure-2 Determination of Shear Properties

Table-7 Design Function of High Damping Rubber Bearing

Compound	Properties at $\Gamma=00\%$	Function
X0.6R	$G_{eq}$ =62N/mm <sup>2</sup> H <sub>eq</sub> =.240 U=0.408	$(10 \le \gamma \le 270\%)$ $G(\gamma) = 1.770 - 2.404\gamma + 1.800\gamma^2 - 0.630\gamma^3 + 0.0846\gamma^4$ $Heq(\gamma) = 0.2196 + 0.05674\gamma - 0.04330\gamma^2 + 0.006965\gamma^3$ $U(\gamma) = 0.3683 + 0.1106\gamma - 0.08498\gamma^2 + 0.013958\gamma^3$

#### [Note]

Components for bi-linear modeling, such as initial stiffness  $K_l$ , post-yield stiffness  $K_2$ , characteristic strength  $Q_d$  are computed by using  $K_{eq}$ ,  $H_{eq}$  and U as follows.

$K_1 = K_{eq}(u - \pi \cdot \tan \delta / 4 + u \cdot \pi \cdot \tan \delta / 4) / (u - \pi \cdot \tan \delta / 4)$	(4)
$K_2 = K_{eq}(1-u)$	(5)
$Q_d = u \cdot K_{eq} \cdot H \cdot \gamma$	(6)
$\tan \delta = 2 \cdot H_{eq}$	(7)

where, G is shear modulus,  $\tan \delta$  is loss factor, U is characteristic strength ratio,  $\gamma$  is shear strain, A is effective rubber area, H is total rubber height.

#### □Typical test loops of HDR

Functional properties of the HDR-X0.6 are specified by followings. And typical shear-strain relationship (Hysteresis Loop) of HDR-X0.6R is shown in Figure 3.



Figure-3 Typical Dynamic Shear Stress-Strain Relationship of HDR-X0.6R

Design functions of HDR-X0.6 ( $G_{eq}$ ,  $H_{eq}$ , and U), empirically obtained by dynamic loading test are shown in Table 6. They were developed by dynamic shear loading test using scaled model. Shear modulus  $G_{eq}(\gamma)$  is expressed as a function of the shear strain  $\gamma$ , such as a polynomial function, as shown in Table. And the equivalent damping ratio  $H_{eq}(\gamma)$  is also expressed as a function of the shear strain  $\gamma$  as shown in Table.

The characteristic strength of HDR-X0.6 is a function of the amplitude of the cyclic shear strain loading  $U(\gamma)$ . This is defined as the ratio of the characteristic strength to maximum shear force of a loop. As shear modulus and equivalent damping ratio,  $U(\gamma)$  is also expressed empirically as a function of the shear strain  $\gamma$ , as shown in Table.

#### □Comparison of test loops and bilinear model

Comparison of test loops and bilinear model are shown in Figure 4.



Figure-4 Comparison of test loops and bilinear model (HDR-X0.6R)



#### 3. Variation of Horizontal Properties

Factors of variation of horizontal properties are as shown as follows.

- (1) Manufacturing tolerance
- (2) Temperature dependency
- (3) Ageing (degradation)

Change ratio of each factor is shown in Table.

Compound	Factor	Change	Remarks
	Manufacturing tolerance	K <sub>eq</sub> : global±10% (individual±20%) H <sub>eq</sub> : global±10% (individual±20%)	
X0.6R	Temperature	K <sub>eq</sub> : +21%(0 Degrees Celsius), -16%(40 Degrees Celsius) H <sub>eq</sub> : +7%(0 Degrees Celsius), -13%(40 Degrees Celsius)	Standard temp 20 Degrees Celsius
	Ageing	$K_{eq}$ : +10% $H_{eq}$ : -10%	20 Degrees Celsius x 60 years

Table-8 De	esign Function of High Damping Rubber Bearing
1	a

1				
Compour	X0.6R			
Manufacturing	K <sub>eq</sub>	+10%	-10%	
tolerance *1	H <sub>eq</sub>	-10%	+10%	
Aging	K <sub>eq</sub>	+10%	0%	
Aging	H <sub>eq</sub>	-10%	0%	
Femperature depend.	K <sub>eq</sub>	+21%	-16%	
20 Degrees Celsius ±20 Degrees Celsius	H <sub>eq</sub>	+7%	-13%	
Total	K <sub>eq</sub>	+41%	-26%	
Total	H <sub>eq</sub>	-13%	-3%	

#### Table-9 Example of variation-combination

\*1: Empirically, the manufacturing tolerance of  $K_{eq}$  and  $H_{eq}$  is related such as when  $K_{eq}$  is (+) side,  $H_{eq}$  is in (-) side.



#### 4. Vertical Properties

Vertical properties of isolator is expressed as  $K_{v}$ .  $K_{v}$  is determined by following equations.

$$K_{v} = E_{c} \cdot \frac{A}{(n \cdot t_{r})}$$
(8)

$$K_{\nu} = \frac{(P_2 - P_1)}{(Y_2 - Y_1)}$$
(9)

where,

$$\frac{1}{E_c} = \frac{1}{E_{AP}} + \frac{1}{E_{\infty}}$$
(10)

$$E_{AP} = E_0 \left( 1 + 2 \cdot \kappa \cdot S_1^2 \right) \tag{11}$$

$$E_{c} = \frac{E_{0}(1 + 2 \cdot \kappa \cdot S_{1}^{2})}{\left[1 + E_{0}(1 + 2 \cdot \kappa \cdot S_{1}^{2}) / E_{\infty}\right]} (12)$$



Figure-5 Determination of Compressive Properties

Where, A is effective area, H is total rubber height,  $S_I$  is first shape factor. Material coefficient for each compound, such as Young's modulus E, correction factor  $\kappa$ , bulk modulus  $E_{\infty}$  are shown in Table-10.



Figure-6 Figure-3 Typical Compressive Properties of HDR

#### [Note]

Manufacturing tolerance: for individual isolator design value  $\pm 30\%$ .



#### 5. Nominal Long-Term Compressive Stress

Nominal long-term compressive stress for each compound and each secondary shape factor is shown in Tables.

X0.6R	$35 \leq S_1 \leq 40$			
$3.00 \leq S_2 \leq 4.55$	$\sigma_{s}$ =5.43×S <sub>2</sub> -9.70			
$4.55 < S_2 \le 10.0$	σ <sub>S</sub> =15.0			

Table-11 Nominal compressive stress for X0.6



#### 6. Ultimate Properties

DUltimate properties under compressive stress

Ultimate properties of isolators are defined as fracture of rubber and buckling (instability).

Typical force-displacement curves of failure behavior of the isolators are shown in Figure 7.

$$\gamma = \frac{\delta_H}{H}$$
(13)  
$$\delta = \frac{P_0}{A}$$
(14)

where, H is total rubber height, A is effective area of isolator.



Figure-7 Determination of Ultimate Properties

Ultimate property of each standard isolator is determined by the Ultimate Property Diagram (UPD).



Figure-8 Ultimate Property Diagram



#### $\square$ Fracture Strain

Fracture strain of isolators for both compounds is determined as more than 400% shear strain.

TT 1 1 10 11

Table-12 Nominal compressive stress		
Compound	Fracture strain	

Compound	Fracture strain
V0 (D	0.9×S <sub>2</sub> ×100: S <sub>2</sub> <4.5
A0.0K	400%: S₂≧4.5

#### □Buckling Stress

Buckling stress (or load) is predicted -by following empirical equation shown in Table 14.





Table-13 Computation of buckling stress

X0.6R	σ <sub>c</sub> (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	$\sigma = \sigma_{cr} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{s_2} \right)$ $\sigma_{cr} = \alpha_c \cdot \frac{\pi}{4} \left( G_{eq} \cdot E_b \right)$ $E_b = E(1 + 2/3 \cdot \kappa)$ Here, $\alpha_c = 1.45$ $\alpha_c = 1.45 - 0.3 \times (5 - S_2)$ $E = 3 \times G_{cr}  (= 3 \times 0.0)$	$E_{b}^{0.5} S_{2}$ $\cdot S_{1}^{2} / \left[ 1 + E(1 + 2/3 \cdot \kappa \cdot S_{1}^{2}) / E_{\infty} \right]$ $(5 \le S_{2})$ $(S_{2} < 5)$ $(52 = 1.872)$
	$\sigma_{\rm L}$	$\sigma_{\rm L} = 60$ $\sigma_{\rm L} = 48 + 14(S_2 - 4)$	$(4.9 \le S_2) (4.0 \le S_2 < 4.9)$
	(N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	$\sigma_{\rm L} = 24 + 24(S_2 - 3)$ $\sigma_{\rm L} = 22 + 28(S_2 - 3)$	$(3.5 \le S_2 < 4.0) (3.0 \le S_2 < 3.5)$
2ю		$\gamma_{b0} = (5.00S_2 + 9.05)/(S_2 + 4)$	.49)



#### □Tensile Strength

Tensile strength of isolators is determined as follows.

rable-14 Computation of Tensile Strength				
Compound	Tensile strength			
X0.6R	1.0 N/mm <sup>2</sup>			

Tensile Displacement







#### Annex-A Temperature Dependence on Shear Properties

Shear modulus and equivalent damping ratio of high-damping rubber bearings becomes harder as temperature becomes lower. Following table shows the test results by the scaled model specimen.

		-10	0	30	40
Compound	Items	Degrees	Degrees	Degrees	Degrees
		Celsius	Celsius	Celsius	Celsius
X0.6R	G <sub>eq</sub>	+46%	+21%	-6%	-16%
A0.0K	H <sub>eq</sub>	+12%	+7%	-5%	-13%
					(n=7)

Table-1A Temperature dependence of shear modulus and damping ratio

The relationship between temperature and change rate of properties is shown in Figure-1. The standard temperature is 20 Degrees Celsius.



Figure-1A Relationship between Temperature and Change Rate of Shear Properties of HDR-X0.6R

Temperature-correction factor is computed by following equation.

For shear modulus:  $\beta k = \frac{1}{a+bt+ct^2+dt^3}$  (1A) For Equivalent damping ratio:  $\beta h = \frac{1}{e+ft+gt^2+ht^3}$  (2A)

where, t is test temperature (Degrees Celsius). The value for *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f*, *g* and *h* are shown in Table 2A.

Table-2A Temperature Contection Pactor for Compound X0.0K					
Compound	а	b	С	d	
X0.6R	1.21	-1.86×10 <sup>-2</sup>	5.99×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-8.99×10 <sup>-6</sup>	
Compound	е	f	g	Н	
X0.6R	1.06	-4.13×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.10×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.10×10 <sup>-6</sup>	

Table-2A Temperature Correction Factor for Compound X0.6R



#### Annex-B Change of Restoring Force Characteristics by Ageing

□The Degradation of Shear Properties

The aging properties of isolators are able to be evaluated by accelerated heat-ageing test. The relationship between ageing period in real-time scale to and accelerated-time scale t1 is expressed as follows.

$$\frac{t_0}{t_1} = exp\left\{\frac{Ea}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T_0} - \frac{1}{T_1}\right)\right\}$$
(1B)

where,  $t_1$ : Accelerated Time,  $t_0$ : Real Time,  $T_1$ : Ageing Temperature,  $T_0$ : Ambient Temperature (=20 Degrees Celsius =273K), *Ea*: Activation Energy (J/mol) and *R*: Gas Constant (=8.32J/mol).

The test conditions and results of accelerated ageing for the compounds X0.6R is shown in Tables 1B and 2B.

Table-1B Heat Ageing Condition				
Compound	Activation E	Condition: 20 Degrees Celsius×60yearsEq.		
X0.6R	9.13×10 <sup>4</sup> J/mol	90 Degrees Celsius × 16days		

Table-2B Test Results-	Change Rate fron	n Initial Value (20	Degrees Celsius	× 60years)
	0	(	0	2 /

				-	
Compound	Outer Dia.	S1	S2	G <sub>eq</sub>	H <sub>eq</sub>
X0.6R	158	35	5.0	+9%	-10%
X0.6R	158	35	5.0	+10%	-5%
X0.6R	158	35	5.0	+7%	-6%

Considering the deviation of rubber compound for 20%, the standard value for aging is defined as Table 3B.

Tuble 3D Sundard Value for Ageing								
Compound	Items	(20 Degrees Celsius × 60years)/(Initial)						
X0.6R	G <sub>eq</sub>	Maximum +13%						
	H <sub>eq</sub>	Minimum -10%						

Table-3B Standard Value for Ageing

#### □The Degradation of Ultimate Shear Properties

The ultimate strength of isolators is able to be evaluated by accelerated heat-ageing test. The degradation of ultimate shear properties of isolators is as shown in Table-4B and Figure-1B. Here, the corresponded time is 30 years and 60 yeas of used.

After ageing of 60 years, the change ratio (degradation) of the ultimate shear strength is within a few percent which compare with initial properties.

	Outor		S <sub>2</sub>	Compressive	Ultimate Shear Strain		
Compound	Dia.	$S_1$		Stress (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Initial	30Years	60Years
			5.0		4.51	-	-
	158 35	35			4.47	-	-
				0 15	-	4.43	-
V0 (D					-	4.40	-
A0.0K					-	4.54	-
					-	-	4.47
					-	-	4.32
				-	-	4.48	
	4.49	4.46	4.42				

Table-4B Change Ratio of Ultimate Shear Strain by Ageing



Figure-1B Degradation of ultimate shear strength by ageing



#### Annex-C Creep Properties

Compressive Creep of HDR depend on there axial stress (force) and first shape factor. The compressive creep becomes larger when axial stress is bigger and first shape factor is smaller. The creep properties of isolators are able to be evaluated by accelerated heat-ageing and environmental-temperature ageing tests.

The creep of HDR after 60years of use can be expressed as follows.

$$\varepsilon(\%) = C_0 \cdot \sigma^p \cdot Y^q / G_{aq} / S_1^r \quad (1C)$$

where,  $\sigma$  is axial stress (N/mm<sup>2</sup>), Y is corresponded years (Year) and  $G_{eq}$  is shear modulus (N/mm<sup>2</sup>). The value for  $C_0, p, q$  and r are shown in Table 1C.

1 770 (D

Table-IC Creep Correction Factor for Compound X0.6R								
Compound	$C_0$	р	q	r				
X0.6R	0.872	1.28	0.468	1.03				

To evaluate the creep of HDR after 60years of used, the ageing test is effective. By measuring the change in the compressive displacement, following table and figure shows test results by the scaled model specimen. The test conditions such as a period and temperature is specified as follows: The ageing period is corresponded to 60 years, the ageing temperature is 30 Degrees Celsius and axial stress is 15 (N/mm<sup>2</sup>).

Axial Stress $\sigma$ (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Creep $\varepsilon$ (%)
7.0 and 8.0	within 4%
9.0	within 5%
10.0	within 6%
12.0	within 7%
15.0	within 9%

#### Table-2C Creep-Ratio of X0.6R

\*20 Degrees Celsius  $\times$  60years (S<sub>1</sub>=35)





#### Annex-D **Frequency Dependency of Restoring Force Characteristics**

The characteristics of HDR such as shear modulus and equivalent damping ratio depend on testing frequencies. Shear modulus and equivalent damping ratio of high-damping rubber bearings show a tendency to become harder as frequency becomes higher.

Following figure shows the test results by the scaled model specimen, which show the relationship between test frequency and characteristics of high-damping rubber bearings.



Velocity (frequency) correction factor for Shear stiffness ' $K_{eq}$ ' and equivalent damping ratio ' $H_{eq}$ ' is computed by following equation.

For Shear Stiffness: 
$$a_{\kappa} = \frac{1}{a_{\kappa} \log(f) + b_{k}}$$
 (1D)

(2D)

For Equivalent damping ratio:  $a_h = \frac{1}{a_h \log(f) + b_h}$ Loading Frequency:  $f = \frac{1}{4 \cdot \delta_H \cdot \frac{60}{v}}$ (3D)

where,  $a_k$  and  $a_h$  is correction factor for Shear stiffness ' $K_{eq}$ ' and equivalent damping ratio ' $H_{eq}$ ', f is loading frequency,  $\delta_H$  is loading displacement (=displacement of 1cycl loading=4\* $\delta_H$ ) and v is loading velocity of the test. The value for  $a_k$  $b_k$ ,  $a_h$  and  $b_h$  are shown in Table 1C, which is according to test results by the scaled model specimen.

Compound	Nominal Frequency	a <sub>k</sub>	$b_{ m k}$	<i>a</i> <sub>h</sub>	$b_{ m h}$
X0.6R	0.33Hz	0.151	1.12	0.0497	0.846

Table-1D Velocity Correction Factor for Compound X0.6R



#### Annex-E Shear Strain Dependency of Restoring Force Characteristics

Restoring force performance of high-damping rubber bearings show nonlinear behavior and its shear modulus becomes harder at the area of less than 100% of shear strain.

On the other hand, to compare with shear strain dependency of shear modulus and equivalent damping ratio of high-damping rubber bearings, the dependency of shear strain amplitude to equivalent damping ratio is smaller than shear modulus.

Following figure shows the test results by the scaled model specimen, which show the relationship between test shear strain amplitude and characteristics of high-damping rubber bearings.

Here, in the figure, dotted lines show the design formula of high-damping rubber bearing which shown in Table-7 on page 5.



Figure-1E Shear strain dependency of restoring force performance of HDR-X0.6



#### Annex-F Tensile Fracture Strength

Tensile stiffness (tensile stress and tensile strain relationship) of high-damping rubber bearings show linear behavior when tensile stress is smaller than 1 N/mm<sup>2</sup>.

Therefore, as long as tensile stress becomes larger than 1 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, tensile restoring force performance of high-damping rubber bearing changes dramatically and tensile stiffness starts to show nonlinear behavior and also tensile strain shows a sharp increase with yielding of tensile rubber strength.

(Yielding of tensile rubber strength arise from voids of inner rubber which arise from a tensile stress.)

Ch	Shear strain (%)					
Compound	0	100	200			
V0.6D	800	36	4	-	1.9	1.4
A0.0K	600	36	3	-	1.4	-

Table-1F Relationship between tensile yield stress and shear strain



Figure-1F Tensile performance of HDR-X0.6 at  $\gamma$ =100% G=0.62,  $\varphi$ 800-5.4×37, (S<sub>1</sub>=36, S<sub>2</sub>=4.0)







#### Annex-G Repeated Loading Dependency of Restoring Force Characteristics

The characteristics of HDR such as shear modulus and equivalent damping ratio show a tendency to become smaller under continuous repeated shear loading.

Figure and Table show the test results by the scaled model specimen, which conducted under following conditions.

- (1) The test vibration frequency: 0.33(Hz).
- (2) The shear strain amplitude:  $\gamma = 250(\%)$
- (3) The repeated loading number: 100(cycle)
- (4) The reference cycle:  $3^{rd}$  (cycle)

Period	K <sub>eq</sub>	H <sub>eq</sub>	$\Delta W$
1	1.121	1.040	1.165
3	1.000	1.000	1.000
10	0.909	1.034	0.940
20	0.855	0.996	0.851
50	0.790	0.878	0.693
100	0.750	0.782	0.587

Table-1G Test Results- Change Rate from reference cycle (at 100cycle)



Figure-1G Repeated loading dependency of restoring force performance of HDR-X0.6  $G=0.62, \varphi 225 (S_1=35.1, S_2=5.0)$ 

#### **BRIDGESTONE** PASSION for EXCELLENCE

#### **Annex-H Ultimate Property Diagram**

The relationship of shear strain and compressive stress amplitude of standard isolators are as shown as follows. Ultimate property of each standard isolator is determined by the Ultimate Property Diagram (UPD) as shown in Figure-8 (page10). Here, the dotted line shows a nominal stress of each isolator.

#### <u>HH-Series</u> (Total Rubber Thickness: 200mm)



BRIDGESTONE



Horizontal Displacement  $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm mm)$ Horizontal Displacement  $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm mm)$ Compressive Stress  $\sigma(N/mm^2)$ Compressive Stress  $\sigma$  (N/mm<sup>2</sup>) 07 09 09 (3.6, 60) (3.8, 60) Vertical Load P (kN) Vertical Load P (kN) (3.7, 56) Shear Strain γ(-) Shear Strain  $\gamma(-)$ HH120X6R: $G_{eq}$ =0.62(N/mm<sup>2</sup>),  $S_1$ =35.8,  $S_2$ =6.0 HH130X6R: $G_{eq}$ =0.62(N/mm<sup>2</sup>),  $S_1$ =35.8,  $S_2$ =6.5 Horizontal Displacement  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (mm) Horizontal Displacement  $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm mm)$ Compressive Stress  $\sigma(\text{N/mm}^2)$ Compressive Stress  $\sigma(N/mm^2)$ 07 09 09 09 (3.8, 60) (3.9, 60) Vertical Load P (kN) Vertical Load P (kN) 3 Shear Strain  $\gamma(-)$ Shear Strain  $\gamma(-)$ HH140X6R: $G_{eq}$ =0.62(N/mm<sup>2</sup>),  $S_1$ =35.1,  $S_2$ =7.0 HH150X6R: $G_{eq}$ =0.62(N/mm<sup>2</sup>),  $S_1$ =35.9,  $S_2$ =7.5 Horizontal Displacement  $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm mm)$ 

SION for EXCELLENCE



BRIDGESTONE





BRIDGESTONE





ASSION for EXCELLENCE



#### Annex-I Compressive Stress Dependency of Restoring Force Characteristics

The Shear modulus of high-damping rubber bearings shows a tendency to become harder as compressive stress becomes larger. In contrast, the equivalent damping ratio of high-damping rubber bearings shows a tendency to decrease as compressive stress becomes larger.

These properties are deeply depends on their shapes, such as a first and secondly shape factors.

As shown in Table and Figure, the compressive dependencies of restoring force characteristics vary with shape factors. Hence, as long as the isolator has enough shape factors, an effect of compressive dependency becomes slightly and the isolator shows a stable performance.

Figure and Table show the test results, which conducted under following conditions.

(1)The shear strain amplitude:  $\gamma = 100(\%)$ 

(2) The reference cycle:  $3^{rd}$  (cycle)

Con	Construction of Isolators				Total		Change Ratio		
Compound	Outer Dia. $S_1$		Compressive	Thickness	Channatariation				
		$S_1$	$S_2$	Stress $\sigma_s$	of Rubber	Characteristics	$\sigma=0.5\sigma_{\rm s}$	$\sigma=1.0\sigma_{\rm s}$	$\sigma=2\sigma_{\rm s}$
				$(N/mm^2)$	(mm)				
	600(15) 36.6	26.6	6 3.0	6.6	200	K <sub>eq</sub>	3.89%	0%	-4.39%
		30.0				H <sub>eq</sub>	-2.30%	0%	12.6%
V0.6P	800(20) 36.1	26.1	36.1 4.0	12.1	200	K <sub>eq</sub>	2.13%	0%	-6.81%
A0.0K		30.1				H <sub>eq</sub>	-4.76%	0%	21.5%
	1200(55) 35.8	( )	15.0	200	K <sub>eq</sub>	-3.06%	0%	-8.47%	
		33.8	0.0	15.0	200	H <sub>eq</sub>	-10.5%	0%	11.6%

#### Table-11 Test Results- Compressive Stress Dependency of HDR (change ratio)



Figure-11 Test Results- Compressive Stress Dependency of HDR (change ratio)